TO HONOUR JEAN LOTHE

ESSAYS ON THE OCCASION OF
HIS RETIREMENT

1 OCTOBER 1985

SLAVICA GANDENSIA
CONTENTS

- TEN GELEIDE

- Prof. Dr. L. ALLAIN, Dostoievski et la société

- Prof. Dr. C. BACKVIS, Sur une métaphore apparentemt insolite de Waclaw Potocki

- Prof. Dr. J. BLANKOFF, Passeport pour un encolpion

- Prof. Dr. J. BONAMOUR, Les jeux de l'amour et de l'art. (À propos de "La maison à la mezzanine" de Čechov)

- Prof. Dr. C. DE GREVE, Un grand héritier de Gogol : Boulgakov. L'héritage de Gogol dans les œuvres des années post-révolutionnaires, 1917-1928

- Dr. C. DE MAEGD-SOEPE, Trifonov et "Le reflet du brasier"

- R. DETREZ, Към хронологията на "Атинския период" в живота на Г. Пърличев

- Prof. Dr. W. B. EDGERTON, José Miguéis in Belgium. Literary Fruits of a Portuguese Writer's Adventures among Russian Émigrés in Brussels

- Dr. K. EYCKMANS, L'admiratif en bulgare moderne

- Prof. Dr. F. GORLE, Quelques aspects du droit pénal russe sous Pierre le Grand

- Prof. Dr. J. HOLTHUSEN, Humor im russischen Symbolismus. Über eine mehrstufige Čechov-Paraphrase in Andrej Belyjs "Peterburg"
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dr. A. HOLVOET</td>
<td>On Types of Perfectivity in Slavonic</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prof. Dr. Ch. HYART</td>
<td>La &quot;langue de bois&quot;</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prof. Dr. G. JUCQUOIS</td>
<td>Tentatives d'analyse d'une langue totalement inconnue. Espoirs et déceptions</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prof. Dr. H. JUNGER</td>
<td>Zur Rezeption der russischen und sovietischen Literatur in Deutschland (1917-1932)</td>
<td>133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prof. Dr. J. LOTHE</td>
<td>Comptes rendus</td>
<td>139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Katlijn MALFLIET</td>
<td>Le contenu socialiste du droit subjectif</td>
<td>151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prof. Dr. M. ONATZKY-MALIN</td>
<td>Quelques remarques sur le vocabulaire de la mode dans la langue russe</td>
<td>165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prof. Dr. E. POPOFF-BOCKER</td>
<td>Le rôle de la femme dans la littérature vieux-russe</td>
<td>173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prof. Dr. J. SCHARPE</td>
<td>Зерцало древней руси : &quot;В лесах&quot; и &quot;На горах&quot; Мельникова-Печерского целование земли у Достоевского и в галли-канской литургии</td>
<td>181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prof. Dr. W. SKALMOWSKI</td>
<td>Russian Roots of S. I. Witkiewicz's Philosophy ?</td>
<td>191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johan SNAUWAERT</td>
<td>The Identification of the Morpheme in Yakut</td>
<td>199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. E. STACHURSKI</td>
<td>Convergences in the Netherlandic and Polish Vocabularies. Part I : The one-word Equivalents in the Translating Netherlandic-Polish and Polish-Netherlandic Dictionary</td>
<td>207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prof. Dr. F. J. THOMSON</td>
<td>Early Slavonic Translations - An Italo-Greek Connection ?</td>
<td>221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. J. VAN DAMME</td>
<td>Quelques remarques à propos de la traduction en russe d'oeuvres littéraires néerlandaises et flamandes</td>
<td>235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prof. Dr. A.G.F. VAN HOLK</td>
<td>Sur le thème du &quot;pouvoir de l'argent&quot; dans &quot;Bespridannica d'A. N. Ostrovskij&quot;</td>
<td>241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prof. Dr. F. VYNCKE</td>
<td>Melissus, Charles Utenhove fils et les humanistes rhenans</td>
<td>249</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. E. WAEGEMANS</td>
<td>Zur Geschichte des russischen politischen Denkens ; M. M. Щербатов &quot;Reise nach Ophir&quot;. Deel II</td>
<td>259</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prof. D. S. WORTH</td>
<td>Varieties of Metrical Filler in the Russian Lament</td>
<td>271</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The presence of certain Italo-Greek traits in the ornamentation of Bulgarian Glagolitic codices of the 10th and 11th centuries has aroused comment (1). It has been suggested that it is perhaps due to Syro-Palestinian and Coptic influence on both Eastern and Western Christian art (2), but a more detailed comparison of the parallels between Italo-Greek codices and the Codex Assemaniensis, the Codex Zographensis, the Euchologium Sinaiticum and the Psalterium Sinaiticum (3) would indicate a direct rather than an intermediate influence (4), although not all of the ornamentation characteristics are specific solely to the Italo-Greek region (5).

The question thus arises whether there are any indications of contacts between Bulgaria and the Italo-Greek region other than in the artistic field and more specifically in the literary sphere. Are there, for instance, any translations of Greek works not found in codices outside that area? The Codices Messemenses 29-30, an Italo-Greek menologium copied in two parts in 1307 (6), constitute the sole traced manuscript with Euripus' Passio Joannis Baptistae (7), which, to judge from linguistic evidence, was translated in the 10th century and is found in codices from the late 13th century on (8). The same Italo-Greek menologium is also the sole traced copy of the Greek translation (9) of the Latin Passio S. Apollinaris episcopi et martyris Ravennae (10). The Slavonic translation of the Passio, although existing only in codices from the 16th century on (11), was clearly translated at an early period. It follows the Latin more closely than the surviving Greek text which has deliberately revised the Latin original.

However, the presence of Graecisms may indicate that it was translated from a Greek text which had not been subjected to later revision (12), and even if translated from Latin, an Italian origin would be more probable than a post-Methodian Bohemian one if it could be shown that there was a Slav literary centre in the Italo-Greek region (13).

Another vita with a possible Italo-Greek origin is the Passio S. Georgii found in only two codices, one a 9th century palimpsest perhaps of Italo-Greek origin (14), the other a 16th century codex (15). The Slavonic translation, whose language again indicates an early period, is found in codices from the 14th century on (16). The original Greek passio of the Roman martyrs Fides, Spes, Caritas (Pistis, Elpis, Agape) and their mother Sapientia (Sophia) has been lost and only three later redactions exist, again with, unsurprisingly perhaps, a strong Italo-Greek connection. The version closest to the lost original is BHG 1637 y, which survives only in one codex of 890 (17). BHG 1637x, which in §§ i - vii is close to BHG 1637 y, although it omits the first half of § i, and varies considerably in §§ viii - xiv, survives only in one 11th century Italo-Greek menologium (18), while BHG 1637z is a considerably revised version which survives in but two codices, an 11th to 12th century Italo-Greek menologium (19) and an 11th century September menologium (20). The Slavonic
translation is found in codices from the 14th century on (21) and reveals that the surviving Greek redactions do not reflect the full textual tradition of the original since it has basically the text of BHG 1637y but with many readings of BHG 1637x (22).

Not only vitae, however, have an Italo-Greek textual tradition. One of the four Slavonic translations of the *Visio Danielis* (23) is found in two versions, each in only one codex. The basic translation is found in the 13th - 14th century Serb Hilandar codex 382 (24) and an interpolated version is in the 13th - 14th Serb Dragolj florilegium (25).

Many of these interpolations take the form of glosses on place names, e.g. the island invaded by the Ishmaelites is called the Danube (26), Turandida has the gloss Sardica (27), Enna the gloss Kyustendil (28), Chuza (viz. the biblical Chorazin) the gloss Strumitsa (29), while the emperor, whose reign heralds the appearance of antichrist, is called Michael and he enters Thessalonica instead of the city of the seven hills, viz. Constantinople (30). Clearly the Slav interpolator of these glosses was associating the apocalyptic events recounted in the *Visio* with events which had taken place in the region between Sardica (Sofia) and Thessalonica and various attempts have been made to date them, based principally on the identification of the Emperor Michael (31); however, the sole explanation which takes all of the interpolations into account dates the interpolations to the period 1078 - 1081 (32).

The interest of the interpolations is thus that they date the translation to before that period, while the interest of the basic text of the *Visio*, which has not been traced in this form in Greek, is that it has interpolations of historical value dealing with events in Byzantine Sicily immediately preceding and after the Arab invasion of 827 (33) and must clearly be of Italo-Greek origin.

Another Greek work emanating from the same region is the typicon drawn up by abbot John for his monastery on the island of Pantelleria (34). The original has not been traced but an abridgment has survived in a Slavonic translation which can on linguistic evidence be dated to the 10th century and is found in codices from the 13th century on (35).

The question naturally arises as to the origin of possible links between the Italo-Greek region and early Slavonic translations.

It has been baldly stated that:

Quando si parla di riflessi della religiosità italo-greca nel mondo slavo ortodosso, ciò deve conceiversi come un fenomeno sempre strettamente collegato con Bizanzio: Costantinopoli, centro politico, religioso e culturale, s'è mantenuto come un nodo di contatti diretti fra la "Slavia ortodossa" e le regioni italo-greche per meglio dire italo-bizantine, scarsissimi e talvolta, in realtà inesistenti (36).
This is, of course, true with reference to the works of Italo-Greek authors which are commonly found in Byzantine manuscripts in general, e.g. the canons by Joseph Hymnographus found in the *menaea* (37), Theophanes Cerameneus of Taormina's *Homilia in illa verba: 'Intravit Jesus in quoddam oaatellum'* (38), or the *vitae* of Italo-Greek saints such as Pancratius of Taormina (39), Leo of Catania (40) and Gregory of Agrigento (41), but it hardly applies in cases where the manuscript tradition of a text does not go beyond the confines of the Italo-Greek region.

The presence of Slavs in Southern Italy is well attested from the 7th century on. Paulus diaconus in his *Historia Langobardorum* (1. iv, c. 44) talks of Slavs settling in the region of Siponto on the Apulian coast in 642 (42) and they continued to live in the region north and south of the Cargano peninsula under the administration of their own *hupans* down to the 11th century (43). Contacts between Bari and Bulgaria in the 11th century are shown by the fact that the 11th - early 12th century author from Bari known as Lupus Protospatarius reveals in his *Chronicon* a knowledge of Bulgarian events in 1014 - 1015 (44). In the 11th century, however, the Slavs in Calabria were more numerous than those in Apulia and Gaufredus Malaterra in his *De rebus gestis Rogerii Calabriae et Siciliae Comitis et Roberti Guiscardi Duvis fratris eius* (1. i, c. 16) talks of Slavs settled near S. Marco Argentario (between Malvito and Bisignano) who knew all of Calabria (45). The presence of Slavs in Sicily is also reported near Taormina by Gaufredus Malaterra (46) and bishop Evagrius' *Vita S. Pancratii episcopi Tauromenii* mentions a Slav quarter in Syracuse (47).

It has been suggested that there may have been a *Slavo-Greek milieu* in late ninth-century Rome (48). It is true that Cosmas of Bulgaria in his *Tractatus contra haeresim recenter manifestatam Bogomilorum* complains of Bulgarians abandoning their families to go on pilgrimages to Jerusalem, others to Rome and other cities and urges the virtue of stability in monastic life and resistance to the seductive idea of pilgrimages to those cities (49), but even if this is not merely a *topos* there is no evidence of Slavs living at Rome at this time (50).

However, whereas there is evidence for Slav settlements in the Italo-Greek region there is none for the existence of a centre of Slav literary activity there. The *Vita et conversatio sancti deiferi patris nostri Nili abbatis Cryptae Ferraetae*, probably by his disciple Bartholomew, states that when in the mid 10th century Nilus passed through his native Rossano in a strange garb so as not to be recognized he was mocked and stoned by street urchins who called him a *Bulgarian monk*, although others thought he was a Frank or an Armenian (51). Since Nilus lived from 910 to 1004 this event took place in the 10th century and it has been interpreted in various ways. The idea that *Bulgarian monk* is used as a term of opprobrium because pillaging bands of Bulgarians had raided the region on occasions (52) hardly explains *monk*, while the explanation that it is a reference to heterodox, not orthodox religion and hence means Bogomil while Armenian means Monophysite (53) not merely presupposes theological learning among street urchins but fails to elucidate *Frank*. The most obvious explana-
tion is that Bulgarian monks were known in Calabria in the 10th century, but it would be hazardous to conclude (54) that there was at least one Bulgarian monastery there since no trace has been found of its existence.

There is no reason why there should not have been links between Bulgarian and Italo-Greek monasteries, just as there were between Byzantine and Italo-Greek ones (55), but a review of the available evidence does not convincingly substantiate the idea of Bulgarian literary activity in some Italo-Greek monastery. Indeed it could be argued that the existence of Slavonic translations of Greek works known only in an Italo-Greek textual tradition or of works emanating from that region whose Greek originals have been lost illustrates nothing except the vagaries of Greek textual tradition and hence the title of this article ends with a ?

Finally, even if the existence of such a centre were proved the translations made there would still have to be viewed within the context of mediaeval Bulgarian culture as they would have been made by and for Bulgarians (56)

Francis J. THOMSON
University of Antwerp.


(5) Thus the coloured background to titles may reveal an Asia Minor origin; see R. Devreesse, Les manuscrits grecs de l’Italie méridionale. (Histoire, classement, paléographie), Vatican City 1955 (= Studi e testi, vol. clxxxi), 29-30, 36.


(7) Codex 30, ff. 9v-10v. The Greek vita BHG 831 has not yet been published although an excerpt is to be found in ASS, November vol. iii, Paris 1910, 17.

(8) The earliest is the late 13th-early 14th century Bulgarian codex Berolinensis slavicus 54 (Wuk 48), ff. 132r-135r. There is a large literature on the codex and datings vary from the 13th to the 14th century. It has also been argued that it is a Serbian copy of an early Bulgarian codex, see inter alios V. Japić, Opisi i izvodi iz nekotiko južnoslovinskih rukopisa, Starine, v, 1873, 1-108, cf. 43-47; A. Yatsimirsky, Opisaniye yuzhno-slavjanskih i russkih rukopisey zagraničnykh bibliotek, vol. i, SORYa, xcvi, 1921, 418-427; H. Miklas, Einführung in den homerischen Ilios und Odysseos (kritische Ausgabe). Eine eingehende Bemerkung über den Text der homerischen Ilios und Odysseos. Die slawischen Sprachen, i, 1982, 39-41. There are two editions of the text: VMCh, Sentyabr’dni 1-13, ed. P. Savvatov, St. Petersburg 1868, 278-281; I. Franko, Apokryfy i legendy z ukrains’kykh rukopisiv, vol. ii, Lemberg 1899, 146-148, from the 16th century Lemberg University codex I.F.15. A. Berendts, Studien über Zacharias-Apokryphen und Zacharias-Legenden, Leipzig 1895, 71-80, gives a German translation of the Slavonic and idem, Die handschriftliche Überlieferung der Zacharias- und Johannes Apokryphen. Über die Bibliotheken der Meteorischen und Ossa-Olympischen Klöster, Leipzig 1904 (= TUU, NF vol. XI), 3, a bibliography of MSS, which is more reliable than that given by A. de Santos Otero, Die handschriftliche Überlieferung der altslavischen Apokryphen, vol. ii, Berlin 1981 (= Patristische Texte und Studien, vol. xxiii), 4-32, see F. Thomson, Apokrypha Slavica II, SEER, lxiii, 1985, 73-98, cf. 74, although Berendts’s idea, Studien 86 and Überlieferung 47, that the Slavonic preserves a better text than the Greek is incorrect and is the result of comparing the Slavonic with BHG 833 f instead of 831.

(9) BHG 2038, as yet unpublished.
(10) BH 623. There are many editions, e.g. ASS, July, vol.v, Paris 1868, 344-350.

(11) It has been edited twice from a 1594 menologium, State Public Library, Leningrad, codex F.I.686: A. Sobolevsky, Mucheniye svyatogo Apollinariya Ravenskogo po russkomu spisku XVI veka, IORYa, viii, 2, 1903, 103-120, cf. 106-118 (there is an offprint of this article published with some other of his articles under the title Zhitiya svyatych v drevnem perevode na tsarkovnoslaviansky s latinskogo yazyka, St.Petersburg 1904), and F. Mareš, An Anthology of Church Slavonic Texts of Western (Czech) Origin, Munich 1979 (= Slavische Propyläen, vol.cxxvii), 178-191.


(13) Which applies to all the vitae allegedly translated from Latin, see Thomson, Survey passim.

(14) Codex Vaticanus Palatinus graecus 205, the fragments of the Passio are on ff. 216 and 219. On the codex see Ehrhard, Überlieferung, pt. i, vol.i, Leipzig 1937 (= TUV, vol. 1), 95-97, 711-712; P. Canart, La collection hagiographique palimpseste du Palatinus graecus 205 et La Passion de S. George BHG 970g, AB, c, 1982, 87-109, cf. 86-93. On the hesitation over its origin see Canart, Collection 87n. 3 and 90n. 18.

(15) Codex Atheniensis 343, ff.86v-96v. On this codex see Ehrhard, Überlieferung pt. i, vol.ii, Leipzig 1938 (= TUV, vol.ii), 63-64; Halkin, Catalogue 42-43; Canart, Collection 93. The passio in question is BHG 670g and has been edited by Canart, Collection 95-109.

(16) The earliest traced codex is a 14th Serbian panegyricon, State History Museum, Moscow, Khudov codex 195, ff. 327v-332v, see A. Popov, Opisaniye rukopisei i katalog knig tserkovnoy pechati biblioteki A.I. Khudova, Moscow 1872, 406. An excerpt is in the 14th century Palaea interpretata, State Public Library, Leningrad, St.Petersburg Theological Academy codex A I 119, see Sochineniya N.S. Tikhonravova, ed.M. Speransky and V. Yakushkin, vol.i, Moscow 1898, 248 n.3. It has been thrice edited, twice from the Khudov codex by A. Veselovsky, Razskaninya v oblasti ruskikh dakhovnykh stikhov, pt. ii, SORYa, xxi,2,1880, 163-172, and Mareš, Anthology 169-178, and from a slightly revised text in a 16th century MS, State History Museum, Moscow, Synodal codex 421, by N. Tikhonravov, Pamyatniki otrochennoy ruskoy literatury, vol. ii, Moscow 1863, 100-111. There is no need to posit a Bohemian origin for the translation, see Thomson, Survey 333-334. Later redactions, some of which have been edited, need not be listed here.

(17) Codex Parisinus graecus 1470, ff. 67r-73v. On this codex see H. Omont and hagiographi Bollandiani, Catalogus codicum hagiographorum graecorum bibliothecae nationalis Parisiensis, Brussels 1896, 147-151; Ehrhard, Überliefe-
Codex Ambrosianus F 144 sup., on which codex see Ehrhard, Uberlieferung pt. I, vol. i, 346-349. The variants to BHG 1637y §§ i-vii are listed by Halkin, Légendes 185-196, who edits §§ viii-xiv, ibidem 204-213.


Royal Library, The Hague, Meerman-Westreenen codex 10 A 5, on which MS see Ehrhard, Uberlieferung, pt. I, vol. i, 442-444. BHG 1637z is edited from these two codices by Halkin, Légendes 213-228.

The earliest traced is the 14th Serb Građanica menologium, Yugoslav Academy of Sciences, Agram, codex III. c. 24 + 22, ff. 35r-39v V. Mošin, Сиролиски рукописи Југославенске академије, vol. i, Agram 1955, 166. The sole edition is that of the Macarian menologium, VMCh, Sentyabr'-juny 14-24, ed. P. Savaitov, St. Petersburg 1869, 1231, 1219-1220, 1232-1242: this strange pagination is due to the fact that by scribal error a passage of the text has been interpolated into the Acta S. Euphemiae virginis et martyris (BHG 619-619e), viz. μνημηνισθεὶς και καυσταλιπολογείσται .... ὁ τριάδος μουντεςκομε (1219-1220), while in its place in the Pasio is found a passage from the Acta, viz. τά μνημεια χαλαρώς ἀντίθετοι .... σωρόμενη μνειατικοῦ (1231-1232)

For example BHG 1637y: ἤγαλλιάτο (ed. Halkin, Légendes 186), 1637x: ἤγαλλιατον εἰς αὐτάς (ibidem); οὐκ ἀρχίσει (VMCh 1219); 1637y: πρὸς τὰ δείκτα τῆς ἐσοβεβαίας διδασκαλία (ibidem); 1637x: εἰς τὸ δόξα τῆς ἀληθοῦς ἐσοβεβαίας (ibidem); εἰς τὴν μεταμορφώσει (ibidem). The Slavonic does little to solve the problem of the date of the Saints' feast as it contains conflicting data: προ τοῖς ἀναγκαία ὁμολογία .... κατακεκληθείσα ζώντης ἐν δέντ (VMCh 1242), viz. October 18th and 17th; cf. 1637y: τῇ πρὸς τοῦτον ὁμολογίαν νοεμβριῶν (ibid. 204), viz. 29 October; 1637x: μνημεια τοῦτον νοεμβρίαν πρώτη (ibid. 213), viz. 31 October; 1637z (Vaticanus): μνημεια τοῦτον (ibid. 228n. 5), viz. 1 August; 1637z (Meerman): μνημεια μέσης εἰς (ibid. 228), viz. 17 September.

The other three are the one edited by A. Popov, Bibliografiaheskiye materialy, pt. xix, ed. M. Speransky, ChI01, vol. cl, 1889, 95-98, and Franko, Apokrify vol. iv, Lemberg 1906, 255-257; that edited by V. Makushev, O nekotorykh rukopisyakh narodnyi bibliotekei v Belgrade, Russky filologicheskii vestnik, vii, 1882, 1-29, cf. 23-26, and A. Yatsimirsky, Iz istorii slavyanskoy pis'men-
nosi v Moldavii i Valakhii xv-xvii vekov, St. Petersburg 1906 (= Pamiatniki drevney pis'mennosti i iskusstva, vol. clixii), 133-136; the third is that edited by Popov, Materialy pt. xix, 58-64. The first two are of the Greek redaction BHG 1874, ed. E.Klostermann, Analecta zur Septuaginta, Hexapla und Patriistik, Leipzig 1895, 115-120; the third corresponds to BHG 1874d, which is unpublished but whose variants to 1874 are noted by Klostermann, ibidem.

(24) It is on ff. 68r - 69v and the beginning is missing due to lost folia. It has been edited twice: V.Istrin, Otkrytija Medfojgja Patarvskogo i apokrificheskiye videniya Daniila v vizantiejskoy i slavjano-russkoy literaturakh. Teksty (= vol. ii), ChiO1, vol. clxxiv, 1898, 156-158, and P.Lavrov, Apokrificheskiye teksty, SORA, lvii, 4, 1899, 1-5.

(25) Formerly Belgrade National Library codex 466/651, ff. 266v-281v. The codex was lost during evacuation in the First World War. The text had been edited by P.Srećković, Zbornik popa Dragolja. Sadržina i proračstva, Spomenik Srpske Kraljevske Akademije, v, 1890, 1-20, cf. 17-20.

(26) шестова Доумага, ed. Srećković, Zbornik 11
(27) Токраниды, Срдча, ed. ibidem.
(28) Немеи, Велєлоуда, ed. ibidem.
(29) в в'єн конє, вь Строунць, ed. ibidem.

(32) At this time there was a) a Patzinak invasion of the Balkans; b) a rebellion against Byzantium in Bulgaria; c) an expedition by the Norman Duke of Anulia, Robert Guiscard, into Macedonia in 1081; d) an impostor mas-carading as Michael VII (who had abdicated in 1078) aiding Guiscard in his anti-Byzantine schemes, see P. Alexander, Historical Interpolations in the Zbornik Popa Dragolja, Actes du XVIe Congrès international des études byzantines, vol. ii, Bucharest 1976, 23-38, who, however, 38, admits that this is in fact only a plausible hypothesis.

(33) On this version of the Visio Danielis as a historical source for these events see P. Alexander, Medieval Apocalypses as Historical Sources, American Historical Review, lxiii, 1968, 997-1018, and idem, Les débuts des conquêtes arabes en Sicile et la tradition apocalyptique byzantino-slave, BCSFLS, xii, 1975, 7-35.

(34) He is almost certainly to be identified with John the Confessor, abbot of Pantelleria, who is commemorated in the Greek synaxarium on August 4th, see H. Delehaye, Synaxarium ecclesiae Constantinopolitanae et codice sirmondiano adiectis synaxaritis selectis, Brussels 1902 (= Propylaeum ad Acta Sanctorum November), 865.
He is also commemorated in Greek menaeae on 3 August but only as an abbot, not as a confessor, see Delehaye, ibidem. Nothing else is known about him, see I. Martinov, *Annus eccelesiasiticus graeco-slavicus*, Brussels 1863, 193, and Sergy (Spassky), *Poleye mesyatsiegl Vostoka*, vol. ii, Vladimir 1901, 235. Ye. Golubinsky, *Istoriya russkoy tserkvi*, vol. i, pt. 1, Moscow 1901, 653, considers that the title of confessor means that he lived during the iconoclastic period, but that is purely speculative. Neither is anything known of the monastery, although another abbot of it, Basil, is commemorated in some synaxaria on 21 June, in others on 22 June, see Delehaye, *Synaxarium* 762, 763; also Martinov, *Annus* 157 and Sergy, *Mesyatsiegl*, vol. ii, 188. The island of Pantelleria, c. 83 km² in size, lies between Sicily and Tunisia.

The earliest traced codex is the Novgorod nomocanon copied in c. 1280, State History Museum, Moscow, Synodal codex 132, ff. 549v-554v, see I. Sreznevsky, *Obozreniye drevnikh russkikh spiskov kormysh knigi*, SORYa, lxv, 2, 1897, 108. There is a vast literature on this codex and its precise date, which cannot be examined here. It is also found in the so-called Moravian nomocanon, e.g. 15th-14th century Ustyug codex, Lenin State Library, Ryumyantsyev codex 230, ff. 126r–130v, see Sreznevsky, *Obozreniye* 127-128, but it did not form part of the original contents of that nomocanon. It has been edited from the 16th century Joasaph nomocanon, Lenin State Library, Moscow Theological Academy collection 54, by I. Mansvetov, *Tserykovnya ustav (tipik) y ego obrazovaniye i sud'a v grecheskoy i russkoy tserkvi*, Moscow 1885, 442-445; a facsimile edition of the text in the 16th-17th century Bodleian codex 995-92 is given by I. Dujčev, *Il tipico del monastero di S. Giovanni nell' isola di Pantelleria*, Bollettino della Badia greca di Grottaferrata, N. S. xxv, 1971, 3-17, cf. 5-12. The dating of the translation to the 12th century by P. de Meester, *Les typiques de fondation (Типы уставов)*, Studi bizantini e neoeellenici, vi, 1940, 480-508, cf. 501, and repeated by A. Kazhdan in his review of an article by Janin, *Vizantiysky vremennik*, xxvii, 1967, 349-350, cf. 350, is without foundation, as are the doubts about whether the place concerned was really Pantelleria, as expressed by S. Mercati, *Sul tipico del monastero di S. Bartolomeo di Trigona tradotto in italo-calabrese in trascrizione greca da Francesco Vucisano*, Archivio storico per la Calabria e la Lucania, viii, 1938, 197-223, cf. 205.


(38) In the 1479 codex copied by Vladislav Grammaticus, Rila codex 4/8 (61), ff. 203r-205r, see E. Sprostranov, Opis na râkopisite v bibliotekata prî Rîlskaïa manastîr, Sofia 1902, 109.

(39) BHG 1410-1410 b. The original has not been edited in full but excerpts are found in A. Veselovsky, Iz istorii romana i povesti. Materialy i issledovaniya, vol. i, SORYa, x1,2, 1886, 73-110. The Slavonic translation is found in codices from the 13th-14th century on, e.g. 13th-14th century Serb codex Q.p.I.33, ff. 1r - 140v, in the State Public Library, Leningrad, see Ye. Granstrem, Opisaniye russskikh i slavianskikh pervamennykh rukopisey. Rukopisi russkiye, bolgarskiye, moldovlakiyskiye, serbskiye, Leningrad 1955, 98. Apart from minor excerpts it has not been published. It was translated by a certain John the Presbyter, but whether he lived in the 10th or 11th century is a matter of controversy, see with bibliography B. Angelov, Iz starata bâlgarska, ruska i srabska literatura, vol. ii, Sofia 1967, 106-108, 130-132, and excerpts from the above codex : 132-138.

(40) BHG 981 b, edited by B. Latyshev, Neizdannyye greaheskiye agiograficheskiye teksty, Zapiski Imperatorskoy Akademii nauk po istoriko-filologicheskomu otdeleniyu, xii, 2, 1914, 12-28. The Slavonic translation, which has not been edited is found in codices from the 15th century on, e.g. 1479 Vladislav Grammaticus' florilegium (see note 38), ff. 586v - 596v : Sprostranov, Opis 104.

(41) BHG 707-707e, ed. PG, xcviii, 1865, 549-716. Of the Slavonic translation a fragment exists of the 14th-15th century, see L. Zhukovskaya, Pamyatniki russkoy i slavianskoy pis'mennosti XI-XIV vekov v knigokrannishchakh SSSR, Sovetskoye slavyanovedeniye, i, 1969, 57-71 cf. 62 n.30; complete MSS date from the 15th century on, e.g. 15th century Serb codex Rila 2/22 (38), ff.38r-134r, see Sprostranov, Opis 45. It is edited in VMCh, Novabr' Vypusk IX. Chaet' 2-ya, Tetrad' I.D nî 23-25, ed. N. Popov, Moscow 1916, 3123-3224. Its precise relation to the various Greek redactions has not been studied.

(42) MGH. Scriptores rerum Langobardicarum et Italicae saec. VI-IX, ed. G. Waitz, Hannover 1878, 45-187, cf. 135

(43) See M. Spremić, La migrazione degli Slavi nell'Italia meridionale e in Sicilia alla fine del Medioevo, Archivio storico italiano, cxxxviii, 1980, 3-15, cf.4; F. Gestrin, La migrazione degli Slavi in Italia nella storografia jugoslava, Quaderni storici, x1, 1979, 7-50; on the župan administration see A. Guillou, L'Italie byzantine du IXe au XIe siècle. Etat des questions, in: E. Bertaux, L'art dans l'Italie méridionale. Aggiornamento dell'opera di Emile Bertaux, ed. A. Prandi, vol. iv, Rome 1978, 3-47, cf. ii; some of the donations to the Benedictine monastery of Santa-Maria on Tremiti in the 11th century were made by Slavs of the Gargano region, see A. Petrucci, Codice diplomatico del monastero benedettino di S. Maria di Tremiti (1005-1239), vol. ii,


(46) l.iii, c.15, ed. Pontieri 66. On this see Capaldo, Insediamento 11. On links between Sicily and Bulgaria in the Middle Ages see I. Dujčev, Accenni alla Storitalia nella letteratura bulgara medioevale, BCSFLS, v, 1957, 87-96.

(47) Ed. Veselovsky, Istoriit vol.i, 94. On the vita see note 39. This information is only contained in the longer version of the vita, viz. BHG 1410-1410 a, and not in the shorter, viz. BHG 1410b, or in the Slavonic translation. The original version is of the 8th century but as yet it is uncertain whether this is the longer or the shorter. If the longer is the original, the information applies to the 8th century, if it is not then it refers to the late 9th or early 10th century as the earliest codices of the longer version are of the 10th century. See on this Capaldo, Insediamento 8-10, 12-13. Veselovsky, Istoriit vol.i, 110, is undecided whether the information is fact or fiction, but there is no reason to doubt it. On the possible presence of Slavs in Palermo see Spremić, Migrazione 4, and Capaldo, Insediamento 11: the evidence is uncertain.

(48) Ševčenko, Report 146

(49) Ed. Yu. Begunov, Kosma Presviter v slavyanskikh literatuvakh, Sofia 1973, 351 and 359. This work is generally dated to the 10th century but could be of the 11th, or even early 12th, see F. Thomson, Cosmas of Bulgaria and His Discourse against the Heresy of Bogomil, SEER, liv, 1976, 262-269, cf. 266-267.
See J. Santerre, *Les moines grecs et orientaux à Rome aux époques byzantine et carolingienne (milieu du VIe s. – fin du IXe s.)*, 2 vols., Brussels 1983 (= Mémoires de la classe des lettres de l'Académie Royale de Belgique, vol. lxvi, 1, i-ii, 1983). On Cyril and Methodius at Rome see vol. i, 90, 143-144, 161. The idea that the teachers sent to Moravia from Italy before the arrival of Cyril and Methodius whom Rostislav mentions in his epistle to Michael III preserved in the *Vita S. Methodii*, c.v, ed. F. Grivec and F. Tomšič, *Constantinus et Methodius Thessalonicienses. Fontes, Agram 1960 (= Radovi staroslavenskog instituta, vol. IV), 155, were Italo-Greek monks, thus F. Zagiba, *Die Italogriechen und die Slavenvmission im 9. Jahrhundert*, IS, xxii, 1973, 919-925, cf. 919, is aberrant - they clearly came from the Patriarchate of Aquileia, viz. Cividale and not the rival Grado, but this question cannot be dealt with here. The activities of Italo-Greeks living outside their native region may be of importance for Slav history but are irrelevant to the question under discussion, unless it could be shown that they included the propagation of Italo-Greek works among the Slavs, which is not the case. To give two examples: Peter of Sicily was sent in 869-870 on an imperial mission from Constantinople (now Divrighi) near Melitene in Asia Minor. While there he learned that the Paulicians were preparing a mission to Bulgaria and so he compiled an account of their heresy, which he addressed to the archbishop of Bulgaria: *Historia utilis et refutatio atque eversio haereseos Manichaeorum qui et Pauliciani dicuntur Bulgarian archi episcopo nuncupata*, ed. D. Papachryssanthou in *Les sources grecques pour l'histoire des Pauliciens d'Asie Mineure*, ed. C. Astruc et al., Paris 1970 (= TM, vol. iv), 7-67, on the Paulician plans cf. 9. (This edition supersedes that in PG, civ, 1860, 1240-1304, cf. 1241). Nothing else is known of Peter. There is no substance to the claim that this work was used by Cosmas of Bulgaria in his *Tractatus*, see Begunov, *kosma 233-237 for details and bibliography. On Peter's mission there is a vast literature, see inter alios S. Runciman, *The Medieval Manichee. A Study of the Christian Dualist Heresy*, Cambridge 1955, 42,65; M. Loos, *Dualist Heresy in the Middle Ages*, Prague 1974, 38-39; Dujčev, *Accenni 93-94. The condemnation of John Italus in 1082 was entered into the Synodicon Orthodoxae, ed. J. Gouillard, *Le synodikon de l'Orthodoxie. Edition et commentaire*, Paris 1967 (= TM, vol. ii), 45-107, cf. 57-61. This was taken over into the Bulgarian synodicon of 1211, ed. M. Popruzjenko, *Sinodik tsarya Borila*, Sofia 1928 (= Bulgarski starini, vol. viii), 3-96, cf. 30-40 (Palauzov codex), 33-39,43 (Drinov codex). It has been suggested that this was done deliberately since a pupil of John Italus, the Georgian John Petric'i, did most of his work at the Georgian Petric'oni monastery, viz. Bačkovo in Bulgaria, thus Dujčev, *Riflessi 188-189. This is, of course, possible, but there is no evidence to support such a claim and it is far more likely that the condemnation was simply translated because it was in the Greek codex used for
the translation. On John Petric'i see M.Tarchni\v{s}vili, Geschichte der kirchlichen georgischen Literatur, Vatican City 1955 (= Studi e testi, vol. clxxxv), 211-225; on the monastery *ibid.* 76-78.


(53) Thus Dujčev, *Rapporti* 238-240, and *idem*, *Bulgaria* 87.

(54) As does Guillou, *Note* 879.

(55) See A.Pertusi, *Rapporti tra il monachesimo italo-greco ed il monachesimo bizantino nell’ alto Medio Evo*, IS,xxi, 1972, 473-520. These links were not affected by the events of 1154 as the travels of Bartholomew of Simeri, founder of the monastery of S.Maria del Patire at Rossano, in the Byzantine Empire in the early 12th century reveal, see M.Scaduto, *Il monachesimo basiliano nella Sicilia medievale. Rinascita e decadenza*, sec.XI-XIV, Rome 1947, (= *Storia e letteratura*, vol. xviii), 171-172. These links include the passage of manuscripts. On such literary connections between the Italo-Greek monasteries and the monastery of Studios at Constantinople see Devreesse, *Manuscrit* 19 n.12, 36.

(56) Had they been made by Italo-Slavs they would betray specific linguistic features - which these translations do not - and had they been made for Italo-Slavs there must have been an Italo-Slav literary culture of which there is no trace.
## Abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AB</td>
<td>Analecta Bollandiana.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASS</td>
<td>Acta Sanctorum quotquot toto orbe coluntur, vel a catholicis scriptoribus celebrantur ex latinis et graecis, aliarumque gentium antiquis monumentis collecta, digesta, illustrata.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCSFLS</td>
<td>Bollettino del Centro di studi filologici e linguistici Siciliani.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChIOI</td>
<td>Chteniya v Imperatorskom Obshchestve istorii i drevnostey rossiyskikh pri Moskovskom universitete.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IORYa</td>
<td>Izvestiya otdeleniya russkogo yazyka i slovesnosti Imperatorskoy Akademii nauk.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IS</td>
<td>Italia sacra. Studi e documenti di storia ecclesiastica.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MGH</td>
<td>Monumenta Germaniae historica inde ab anno Christi quingentesimo usque ad annum millesimum et quingentesimum.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEER</td>
<td>The Slavonic and East European Review.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SH</td>
<td>Subsidia hagiographica.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SORYa</td>
<td>Sbornik otdeleniya russkogo yazyka i slovesnosti Imperatorskoy Akademii nauk.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TM</td>
<td>Travaux et mémoires du Centre de recherche d’histoire et civilisation byzantines.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TUU</td>
<td>Texte und Untersuchungen zur Geschichte der altchristlichen Literatur.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VMMCh</td>
<td>Velikiye Minei Chetii, sobrannyye vserossiyskim mitropolitom Makariyem.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>